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Include a figure showing the LFP with AP

Episodic memories:

Tulving & markowitch 1998)

Nadel & Moscovitch 1997: even highly overlapping episodes are all unique.

We use the hilbert transform which assumes sinusoidality of the signal.

Other methods (e.g., linear interpolation methods: doi.org/10.1152/jn.00273.2019; empirical mode decomposition) do not have that assumption and might be more adequate.

During a spatial navigation task neural spiking locked to oscillations in the LFP of the microwire at which they were recorded, particularly at theta and gamma (josh 2007 paper). Locked to various phases in the theta range

Single neuron firing has been known to lock to the ongoing phase of theta (Josh 2007 paper xx). This spike-field code has been used to decode the position of an animal in relation to specific locations (okeefe place cell/phase precession) and stronger spike-field coupling has been shown to predict successful memory (rutishauser nature 2010).

Notes

you can look at HFA&Theta coupling (there is a bunch of literature on gamma&theta coupling although HFA is not gamma; HFA is likely aperiodic, mention the correlation between HFA and spikes but spike&theta coupling if there is something interesting

3B (HFP): time resolved HFP. Also look at misses adjusted for fewer number.

read roux

read Shapiro (Behrens mentioned she proposes semanziation in CA1). Perhaps include his idea that semanzicied regularities in the cortex (or hippocampus) can be used as new inputs /elements into the hippocampus creating more complex combinations in each iteration.

%%

Once you can create ESN on demand you can check whether their firing rate follow the probability of the presentation of stimuli. A la polish paper with CN in a pyramid that I sent to Turk-Browne

Include Ison in the discussion about engram allocation, because it lines up (then also introduce quickly in intro)

Idea is this experiment:

A+B = C

A+D = E

A+F = G and stimulate each time. Do CN tuned to D fire to A and E?

Do they fire to B&C and F&G? IF so, in line with repetitions? Let's say A+B=C is more common then another trl is tun/reflected in re-tun?

Do we get a stimulus A CN? Does this change throughout the day/nights/experiment?

Do we have a ESN first? So for A+B=C?

Without stimulation (?) a+b=c

a+d=e

ESN for one overlap?

How are ESNs over repetitions? How does tuning response change? Repetition on next day as well? Pattern completion?

When associated with another image Concpet Neurons can change their tuning to the associate image. XX extended this work by first identifying Concept Neurons and then presenting their respective tuned images according to an implicit pattern. After several learning blocks, they could show that the implicit pattern was reflected in a change of firing rate. These line up nicely with rodent literature finding recently active neurons more excitable and more likely to be allocated to an event.
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